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ABSTRACT

The most numerous forms of large deer Eucladoceros and Arvernoceros from Villafranchian localities of Sea of Azov Region and adjacent territories are described. Their characteristics of evolutionary changes in a structure of their antlers are marked. The partial revision of late representatives of genus Eucladoceros with allocation of some subspecies was carried out.
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1. Introduction

Deer are one of the index fossils in addition to other herbivorous mammals in continental beds of Eastern Europe, including areas of the Sea of Azov Region. The taxonomy of fossil deer is based mainly on features of their antlers. Taphonomical peculiarities result in antlers of adult animals being more often preserved in fossil condition. Deer are interesting for paleontology and paleoecology because they react to landscape changes more precisely than do elephants and horses. They are attached to a narrower ecological niche, as they are mainly browsing animals. The considerable variability of antler characteristics does not reduce their significance for biostatigraphy. In the Villafranchian in southeastern Europe, finds of remains of large deer of two lineages, Eucladoceros and Arvernoceros, are usual. They are known from the Khapry (Middle Villafranchian), Psekups (Middle-Late Villafranchian) and Taman (Late Villafranchian-early Galerian) Faunistic complexes.

The Khapry Faunistic complex is characterized by the presence of Pliocrocuta perrieri, Homotherium crenatidens, early meridionaloid elephants Archidiskodon meridionalis gromovi, late Anancus arvernensis alexeevae, the abundance of large and slender Equus (Allohippus) livenzovensis, Elasmotherium chaprovicum, and small Paracamelus alutensis (Gromov, 1948; Baygusheva, 1971; Titov, 2008). Most bones occur in the bottom of alluvial cross sections of the Khapry and Liventsovka sand pits. Remains of small mammals from these layers allow dating the Khapry Faunistic complex as Late Villanyian, zone MN 17 (Tesakov, 2004). There are rather numerous remains of Eucladoceros dicranios tanaitensis subsp. nov. and Arvernoceros cf. veresichugini, beside the small deer Cervus (Rusa) philisi. The analysis of the association indicates the presence of a warm and relatively dry climate with hot summers and slightly snowy winters with weak cold weather, warmer and drier, than the modern ones. The absence of forms adapted to drought habitats, and the abundance of species typical for open and semi-open landscapes, indicates the nearest (not full) analogue of this fauna is from the modern African savanna.

For the Psekups (Odessa) Faunistic Complex, which can be considered as a later stage development of the Khapry, the presence of Archidiskodon meridionalis meridionalis, Stephanorhinus cf. etruscus, and Equus (Allohippus) cf. major were typical. During this period Anancus and P. alutensis continue to exist, but become less numerous. For the first time, Bison appeared. Among deer, Eucladoceros orientalis orientalis subsp. nov. and Pseudodama nestii are characteristic. During this period Anancus and P. alutensis continued to exist, but become less numerous. For the first time, Bison appeared. The most representative fauna of this type occurs from Ciscaucasus (sites Saratovskaya and Bakinskaya) from the bases of the Psekups riverbank sections. Deposits with Psekups fauna belong to an early part of the Matuyama epoch, and small mammal fauna indicate the end of Villianian, and early Upper Villafranchian, to the end of zone MN 17 (Tesakov, 2004). Probably, an intensification of aridization and widening of steppe-like areas occurred. However, there were no radical reorganizations in the structure of large mammal association in comparison with the previous stage. From this time, “savanna-type” Eurasian landscapes began to be transformed to the present steppe and forest-steppe.

The Late Villafranchian-early Galerian Taman Faunistic Complex was characterized by Canis tamanensis, Canis (Xenocyon) lycaonoides, Ursus sp. Lutra simplicidens tamanensis, Pachycrocuta...
brevirostris, Panthera sp., Homotherium latidens, advanced southern elephants Archidiskodon meridionalis tamanensis, Stephanorhinus cf. etruscus, Elasmosotherium caucasicum, Equus (Allohippus) major, Sus cf. strozzi, Bison tamanensis, Pontocerus ambiguus, Tragelaphus sp., and Gazella sp. (Vereshchagin, 1957; Baygusheva, 2000; Sotnikova and Titov, 2009). Among large deer, the most usual is E. orientalis pioratarandoides subsp. nov. Microtheria fauna from these sites is early Galerian and Early Biharian (Tesakov, 2004). Most Epivillafranchian sites with large mammals include alluvial, deltoid and early Galerian and Early Biharian (Tesakov, 2004) use the designation for antlers of bush-antlered deer. Azzaroli (1953) named these tine A and tine 1 and tine 2. Heinz (1970) numbered tines in order from proximal to distal ones. Kahlke (1956) named these tine 1 and tine 2. Heinz (1970) numbered tines in order from proximal to distal ones. Kahlke (1956) named these tine A and tine E, respectively. Several researchers (Azzaroli and Mazza, 1992, 1993; de Vos et al., 1995) call the first tine, situated above the burr and some laterally, as “outer tine”. The second tine which deviates from the beam somewhat higher than the first and medially was designated as the “inner tine”. A serious attempt to unify the terminology of these processes was made by Croitor (2006). However, he caused significant confusion with the name of the proximal processes. Trying to separate characteristics of Eucladoceros and Praemegaceros, he singled out the notions of basal/subbasal tines and dorsal tine. Croitor did not consider the “medially situated basal tine” in Praemegaceros as the homologue of the outer tine in Eucladoceros.

However, taking into consideration the extremely low position of the first lateral tine in adult individual Eucladoceros antlers from Liventsovsk, it is quite difficult to distinguish subbasal and basal tines using the system of Croitor (2006). This approach is inconvenient for describing fragmental and undetermined material. Given the evidence of age variation on the antlers of Eucladoceros from Liventsovsk, sand pit, the following system was used for the two lower tines’ names. The first tine, situated above the burr on the front side of the beam or laterally, is a basal tine. In some forms, it can be reduced to a state of button-like vestige. The upper tine, located on the front (dorsal) side and somewhat displaced medially, is the dorsal tine. In some forms it can be greatly reduced, whereas in other deer, it can be represented by a lesser single or double tine. Distal tines which are located on the bar are crown tines. In Praemegaceros a medial tine is usually present (Croitor, 2006). The system of antler measurements was taken from Heintz (1970): for Eucladoceros according to Heintz's fig. 202, 208, and for Arvernoceros, Heintz's fig. 304, 305.

2. Systematic paleontology

Family Cervidae Goldfuss, 1820
Subfamily Cervinae Goldfuss, 1820
Tribe Cervini Goldfuss, 1820
Genus Eucladoceros Falconer, 1868
Eucladoceros dircianosis (Nesti, 1841)
Eucladoceros dircianosis tanaitensis Baygusheva and Titov ssp. nov.

Holotype: incomplete right antler, Rostov-on-Don Local Museum (ROMK), L-553.

Type locality: Liventsovsk, Rostov Region, Russia, Khapry alluvial layers.

Age of the type locality: Lower Pleistocene, Middle Villafranchian, Khapry Faunal Complex.

Material: skull fragments with antlers (8 specimens), 27 fragmentary antlers, 4 lower jaws, 22 limb bones; collections of AMZ, ROMK, PIN, GGM.

Etymology: in reference to the Tanais, the ancient name of the Don and Seversky Donets rivers.

Differential diagnosis: antlers differ from the same of nominative subspecies by the absence of a significant curving of upper antler’s branches backwards and by more flattening the tines. The index of beam flattening in adult individuals (the ratio of width of the section to its anterior-posterior diameter) is 0.73–0.92 (on the average 0.84), and for crown tines (at its base) – 0.57–0.68 (on the average 0.61).

Description: Characteristic features of the skulls are as follows: frontal surface is weakly concave behind the eye sockets; interfrontal sagittal suture is flat, in young individuals - more convex; cerebral post pedicle part of the skull is wide and short; mastoid bone is sub-rectangular, rather narrow, oval foramen is small, occiput rather high (Table 1, Fig. 1f); supraoccipitale trapezoid, convex, occipital crest short; fossa nuchalis distinct.

Pedicles are massive, rounded in section. In older individuals, they are shorter and more massive (Tables 2, 3). The angle of pedicles divergences in young animals makes 70°, and in adults reaches 85°. The distance between the bases of pedicles is equal or less than the pedicle's width (0.73–0.8). Axes of a pedicles' divergence are directed latero-caudally.

Antlers are large (Fig. 2). Burr is massive and rounded. The bases of antlers are from oval to subtriangular in cross section. On the anterior side of the beam is the first basal tine (according Croitor, 2006; outer tine according to Azzaroli and Mazza, 1992) situated somewhat laterally. In adult animals with large antlers, the basal tine is implanted low, almost near the burr. In young animals, this tine is usually located on some distance from the burr, and it is rather long, rounded in cross section. Usually it is not ramified, a little bit declined laterally, and turned up. In old individuals the basal tine is flat, in cross section from oval to subtriangular. Probably, in adult animals the palmation was formed on the end of this tine. On the antlers of mature forms, there is an unbranched round in cross section of the dorsal tine (according to Croitor, 2006; inner tine according to Azzaroli and Mazza, 1992). On the specimen ROMK L-247, there are two. In young forms this basal tine is expressed by a knob. Above the dorsal tine the beam deviates back and sideways under an angle of 83–135°. All crown tines are implanted to the anterior surface of the beam and dichotomy bifurcated. The cross section of the burr varies from rounded to subtriangular, and it flattens distally. The antler's surface is rough-striated.

Upper teeth are subhypysodont, narrowed from the basis to a chewing surface. The ventral margin of the mandible is relatively straightforward. The lower teeth have a structure typical for Cervidae. The lower premolars are weakly molarized. Teeth p3 have the first stage of molarization, and p3 – the second (Heintz, 1970). Metaconids are connected with protoconids. Teeth p4 are of the third stage of molarization. Metaconid is extended in an anteroposterior direction. Entoconid and entostyloid are oblique
posteriorly. Entostylid is relatively large. Paraconid is usually well expressed. On some teeth, paraconid and an anterior part of metaconid are pulled strongly together. On the lower molars the protostylids are well developed. Additional columns (ectosylids) are well advanced, mainly on m1.

**Comparison.** The obviously expressed dichotomy bifurcation of antlers relate *Eucladoceros* from Khapry layers to *E. dicranios* Nesti from Upper Valdarno, *E. sedgwickii* Falconer from Norfolk (Great Britain), *Eucladoceros orientalis* (Radulesco et Samson) from Psekups (st. Bakinskaya), and *Eucladoceros pilotarandoides* from the Sea of Azov Region (Ukraine), Italy, and Greece. The presence of dichotomy ramification of crown tines is observed in some *E. boulei* Teilhard et Piveteau (Heintz, 1970). By this property, *Eucladoceros* from Liventsovka differs from *E. tetraceros* Dawkins and *E. ctenoides* Nesti, including from *E. "senezensis"* Deperet (= *E. ctenoides*; de Vos et al., 1995).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurements, mm</th>
<th><em>Eucladoceros dicranios tanaitensis</em></th>
<th><em>Eucladoceros orientalis orientalis</em></th>
<th><em>Eucladoceros orientalis pilotarandoides</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Liventsovka</td>
<td>Bakinskaya</td>
<td>Taman peninsula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mastoid width</td>
<td>ROMK L-379</td>
<td>ROMK L-1600</td>
<td>PIN 4378/1, destroyed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interorbital width</td>
<td>130.0</td>
<td>170.0</td>
<td>160.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Braincase length behind pedicles</td>
<td>131.0</td>
<td>170.0</td>
<td>172.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Braincase width behind pedicles</td>
<td>110.4</td>
<td>127.0</td>
<td>127.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance between upper lateral part of pedicles</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>195.0</td>
<td>195.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance between bases of pedicles</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>44.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of occipital condyles</td>
<td>76.0</td>
<td>93.0</td>
<td>93.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of occipital restriction in front of the occipital ridges</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>53.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of ventral notch of occipital condyles</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital height</td>
<td>88.0</td>
<td>73.0</td>
<td>105.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacrimal bone length</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>75.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacrimal bone width</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>38.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal length</td>
<td>143.0</td>
<td>143.0</td>
<td>143.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal width</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td>57.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyatus nasolacrimal length</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>34.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyatus nasolacrimal width</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle of pedicles’ divergence, °</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>(80)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 1.** *Eucladoceros orientalis pilotarandoides* from Tsimbal sand pit (Taman peninsula, Sea of Azov Region; late Early Pleistocene, Late Villafranchian), braincase (ZIN 26001): (a) dorsal view; (b) ventral view; (c) posterior view; *Eucladoceros dicranios tanaitensis* from Liventsovka sand pit (North-Eastern Sea of Azov Region; early Early Pleistocene, Middle Villafranchian), braincase (ROMK L-379): (d) lateral view; (e) ventral view; (f) posterior view.
Table 2
Antlers’ parameters of *Eucladoceros* from the Sea of Azov Region. MAD – mean absolute deviation, – standard deviation. Data in parenthesis are approximate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurements, mm</th>
<th><em>Eucladoceros dicanios tanaitensis</em></th>
<th><em>Eucladoceros orientalis orientalis</em></th>
<th><em>Eucladoceros orientalis pliotarandoides</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eucladoceros dicanios tanaitensis</td>
<td>Eucladoceros orientalis orientalis</td>
<td>Eucladoceros orientalis pliotarandoides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ad, Liventsovka; coll. ROMK, AMZ</td>
<td>Liventsovka, all subad, Liventsovka; coll. ROMK, AMZ</td>
<td>PIN 4378/1 KKM 388 AMZ OP-840 MKM-I-864 MKM-I-435 ZIN, No. 26001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burr diameter latero-medial (1)</td>
<td>6 60.5–70.0 64.6 9 40.2–72.6 57.9 7.50 7.86 60.0</td>
<td>6 65.6–80.8 71.6 9 48.2–70.0 58.7 8.51 10.41 67.5</td>
<td>6 54.3 60.0 7 40.2–54.8 46.5 4.07 5.54 343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burr diameter anterio-posterior (2)</td>
<td>6 28.0–42.8 34.3 4 24.4–39.4 30.9 3.73 4.51 –</td>
<td>6 30.4–55.5 54.1 7 39.2–47.0 43.7 3.90 5.61 (49)</td>
<td>6 54.3 60.0 7 40.2–54.8 46.5 4.07 5.54 343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedicle height</td>
<td>6 100–135 120 – – – – –</td>
<td>6 46.3–52.0 48.4 7 40.6–54.8 45.6 4.07 5.54 (55.5)</td>
<td>6 54.3 60.0 7 40.2–54.8 46.5 4.07 5.54 343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedicle diameter latero-medial</td>
<td>6 201.0 – – – – –</td>
<td>6 39.5–47.0 44.1 4 25.6–56.2 40.6 – – –</td>
<td>6 54.3 60.0 7 40.2–54.8 46.5 4.07 5.54 343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedicle diameter anterio-posterior</td>
<td>6 52.3–60.8 57.15 9 39.8–56.2 47.8 6.22 7.50 47.2</td>
<td>6 52.3–60.8 57.15 9 39.8–56.2 47.8 6.22 7.50 47.2</td>
<td>6 52.3–60.8 57.15 9 39.8–56.2 47.8 6.22 7.50 47.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle of first bifurcation, °</td>
<td>6 201.0 – – – – –</td>
<td>6 52.3–60.8 57.15 9 39.8–56.2 47.8 6.22 7.50 47.2</td>
<td>6 52.3–60.8 57.15 9 39.8–56.2 47.8 6.22 7.50 47.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of first segment (length from the burr to distal end of dorsal tine base)</td>
<td>6 201.0 – – – – –</td>
<td>6 52.3–60.8 57.15 9 39.8–56.2 47.8 6.22 7.50 47.2</td>
<td>6 52.3–60.8 57.15 9 39.8–56.2 47.8 6.22 7.50 47.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of second segment (length from dorsal tine to distal end of first crown tine base)</td>
<td>6 201.0 – – – – –</td>
<td>6 52.3–60.8 57.15 9 39.8–56.2 47.8 6.22 7.50 47.2</td>
<td>6 52.3–60.8 57.15 9 39.8–56.2 47.8 6.22 7.50 47.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of third segment</td>
<td>– – – – – –</td>
<td>– – – – – –</td>
<td>– – – – – –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antler’s base diameter above the burr latero-medial (3)</td>
<td>6 201.0 – – – – –</td>
<td>6 52.3–60.8 57.15 9 39.8–56.2 47.8 6.22 7.50 47.2</td>
<td>6 52.3–60.8 57.15 9 39.8–56.2 47.8 6.22 7.50 47.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antler’s base diameter above the burr anterio-posterior (4)</td>
<td>6 60.0–75.0 66.0 9 42.4–55.4 48.8 7.07 9.07 53.0</td>
<td>6 201.0 – – – – –</td>
<td>6 52.3–60.8 57.15 9 39.8–56.2 47.8 6.22 7.50 47.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal tine’s base diameter latero-medial</td>
<td>6 27.4–34.6 32.3 – – – – –</td>
<td>6 38.4–72.2 53.3 1 56.0 – – –</td>
<td>6 52.3–60.8 57.15 9 39.8–56.2 47.8 6.22 7.50 47.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal tine’s base diameter anterio-posterior</td>
<td>6 27.4–34.6 32.3 – – – – –</td>
<td>6 38.4–72.2 53.3 1 56.0 – – –</td>
<td>6 52.3–60.8 57.15 9 39.8–56.2 47.8 6.22 7.50 47.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of basal tine</td>
<td>– – – – – –</td>
<td>– – – – – –</td>
<td>– – – – – –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam’s diameter above the basal tine lateral-medial</td>
<td>6 39.5–47.0 44.1 4 25.6–56.2 40.6 – – –</td>
<td>6 52.3–60.8 57.15 9 39.8–56.2 47.8 6.22 7.50 47.2</td>
<td>6 52.3–60.8 57.15 9 39.8–56.2 47.8 6.22 7.50 47.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beam’s diameter above the basal tine anterio-posterior</td>
<td>6 39.5–47.0 44.1 4 25.6–56.2 40.6 – – –</td>
<td>6 52.3–60.8 57.15 9 39.8–56.2 47.8 6.22 7.50 47.2</td>
<td>6 52.3–60.8 57.15 9 39.8–56.2 47.8 6.22 7.50 47.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index of flattering of the burr (1/2)</td>
<td>6 0.78–0.99 0.90 9 0.79–0.99 0.91 0.05 0.08 0.9</td>
<td>6 0.7–0.99 0.87 9 0.79–0.95 0.88 0.07 0.09 0.9</td>
<td>6 0.78–0.99 0.90 9 0.79–0.99 0.91 0.05 0.08 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index of flattering of the antler base (3/4)</td>
<td>6 0.78–0.99 0.90 9 0.79–0.99 0.91 0.05 0.08 0.9</td>
<td>6 0.7–0.99 0.87 9 0.79–0.95 0.88 0.07 0.09 0.9</td>
<td>6 0.78–0.99 0.90 9 0.79–0.99 0.91 0.05 0.08 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index of flattering of dorsal tine</td>
<td>6 0.78–0.99 0.90 9 0.79–0.99 0.91 0.05 0.08 0.9</td>
<td>6 0.7–0.99 0.87 9 0.79–0.95 0.88 0.07 0.09 0.9</td>
<td>6 0.78–0.99 0.90 9 0.79–0.99 0.91 0.05 0.08 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index of flattering of the beam</td>
<td>6 0.78–0.99 0.90 9 0.79–0.99 0.91 0.05 0.08 0.9</td>
<td>6 0.7–0.99 0.87 9 0.79–0.95 0.88 0.07 0.09 0.9</td>
<td>6 0.78–0.99 0.90 9 0.79–0.99 0.91 0.05 0.08 0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Antlers measurements of mature and young Eucladoceros dicranios tanaitensis from Khapry layers. Data in parenthesis are approximate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antler Measurements</th>
<th>L-531</th>
<th>L-480</th>
<th>L-475</th>
<th>L-477</th>
<th>L-475</th>
<th>L-475</th>
<th>L-475</th>
<th>AMZ-1425</th>
<th>L-750</th>
<th>L-750</th>
<th>L-750</th>
<th>L-750</th>
<th>L-750</th>
<th>L-750</th>
<th>L-750</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Burr diameter latero-medial</td>
<td>64.2</td>
<td>64.2</td>
<td>64.2</td>
<td>75.8</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>62.6</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>58.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedicle height</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedicle diameter latero-medial</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>45.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedicle diameter anterio-posterior</td>
<td>49.8</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>48.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of first segment (length from the burr to distal end of dorsal tine base)</td>
<td>201.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>201.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>201.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antler base diameter above the burr antero-posterior</td>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>60.6</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>(51)</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>(51)</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>(51)</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>(51)</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>(51)</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>(51)</td>
<td>59.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the revision of the genus Eucladoceros, de Vos et al. (1995) made a number of assumptions that are disputable. For example, referring to the significant variation of the height of the “first tine” they asserted the unsuitability of this feature for specific diagnosis. However, they combine data from all Eucladoceros regardless of age variability. Observations which were made on the material from Liventsovka locality show a clear pattern of the first tine localization above the base of the antler, depending on the individual age. Variability with specimen age and individual characteristics of deer antlers is considerable. However, the holotypes of three Eucladoceros subspecies are of similar age adult individuals, providing the possibility of full-fledged comparison.

The absence of published concrete measurements of antlers from Upper Valdarno and Norfolk makes it difficult to carry out direct comparison. However, according to Azzaroli (1953) who directly studied remains of both forms, deer antlers of *E. dicranios* (= *E. d. dicranios*) from the Late Villafranchian of Upper Valdarno differ from the same of Middle Villafranchian *E. sedgwicki* (= *E. d. sedgwicki*) from Great Britain by weak flattening of crown tines.

Antlers of Azov *E. d. tanaïtensis* also differ from the same of the nominate subspecies by a greater flattening of crown tines. In addition, the better preserved antler in the Khapry fauna collection ROMK L-531 differs from that of typical *E. d. dicranios* by its lesser length. Moreover, on massive antlers from Liventsovka the first basal tine is situated near the burr, whereas on the specimen from Upper Valdarno it diverges higher on the beam. The angle of pedicle divergence is larger in the samples from the Khapry alluvium. The basioccipital is less massive and flattened. In general, the size of skulls from Liventsovka and Upper Valdarno is similar.

*E. d. sedgwicki* differs from *E. d. dicranios* and *E. d. tanaïtensis* by the clearly highest location of the basal process. In addition, on the antlers of adult deer from Norfolk there is no obviously represented dorsal tine. As pointed out by Azzaroli (1953), the high position and the flattening of the first basal (“brow tine”) tine are remarkably constant and well displayed in adult and in young specimens of *E. sedgwicki* (= *E. d. sedgwicki*).

From *E. boulei* from China, another deer with dichotomy bifurcation of crown tines, the deer from Sea of Azov Region are distinguished by the low position of the basal tine, more expressed dichotomy bifurcation, and smaller distance between basal and crown tines.

From *E. orientalis* (=*E. o. orientalis*) from Psekups, the form from Liventsovka differs by it bigger basal tine, lesser distance between proximal tines and crown ones, greater flatness of a beam, and smaller total antler length. The height of the first bifurcation of adult deer antlers from Liventsovka on the average is less, and the basis of an antler is more massive, than from Psekups. The angle between dorsal tine and beam prolongation is larger in *E. d. tanaïtensis*. In Eucladoceros from Khapry layers, the height of the second ramification is less than at *E. pliotaranoides* (= *E. o. pliotaranoides*) from the Mariupol and Krasnodar museums.

Postorbita width of the skull, and the width of the occipital bone of Khapry specimens are less than that of *E. pliotaranoides* (= *E. o. pliotaranoides*) from Tsimbal (Taman Peninsula, Early Pleistocene). In the skulls from Liventsovka, the post pedicle part of the cranium is longer, with less pronounced facial fracture. The basioccipital bone of Liventsovka’s deer is square, while at Tsimbal it is subtriangular. The divergence of pedicles is larger on average in the Khapry samples.
Eucladoceros orientalis (Radulesco, Samson, 1967)
Eucladoceros orientalis orientalis (Radulesco, Samson, 1967)

1948 Cervus cf. pliotarandoides; Gromov, 53
1959 Eucladoceros pliotarandoides; Verestchagin, 60–62; fig. 30
1962 E. pliotarandoides; Flerow, 373–374; fig. 492, 493
1967 Psekupsoceros orientalis; Radulesco and Samson, 332; fig. 5
1977 Eucladoceros sp.; Alexeeva, 127; fig. 24a
1990 Eucladoceros orientalis; Vislobokova, 158.
1992 Megaceroides orientalis; Azzaroli and Mazza, 27–28; fig. 3
1993 M. orientalis; Azzaroli and Mazza, 6–7; fig. 3
2004 Praemegaceros pliotarandoides; Croitor and Kostopoulos, 144, 154; fig. 14, 16a
2005 Praemegaceros pliotarandoides; Croitor, 90; fig. 4a
2006 Praemegaceros (Orthogonoceros) pliotarandoides; Croitor, 107; fig. 15
2009 E. orientalis orientalis; Baygusheva, 459–461; fig. 1

Holotype: incomplete right antler, Paleontological Institute RAS, PIN 4378/1.
Type locality: Bakinskaya, Krasnodar Region, Russia, blue clays of Psekups River.
Age of the type locality: late Lower Pleistocene, late Middle — early Late Villafranchian, late MN 17, Psekups Faunal Complex.
Material: a skull (nowadays destroyed) with an antler No. 4378/1, collection of PIN.
Description: The description of the skull PIN No. 4378/1 from Bakinskaya was made on the basis of K.K. Flerow’s manuscript about the undestroyed skull. During the transportation from Leningrad to Moscow in the 1940s, the sample was destroyed and lost. Preorbital fossa is deep, well-defined, located at the greater part of the lacrimal bone (Table 1). Fossa lacrymale is of moderate depth. The nasal bones in comparison with Cervus are slightly shortened. Length of nasals is 85–90% of interorbital distance. Premaxilla with blade-like processes are in the anterior part of lateral edge. The divergence of pedicles is 80° (according to fig. 24a of Alexeeva, 1977, Fig. 3).
Antler is large with a massive burr. The total length of the antler PIN No. 4378/1 is about 1080.0 mm. At the base the cross section of the beam and tines are rounded, in distal parts they are slightly flattened (Table 2). The basal tine is reduced, shaped as a roughness (according to K.K. Flerow). The dorsal tine is implanted at some distance from the burr. This tine is straight at the base, diverges

Fig. 2. Eucladoceros dicranios tanaitensis from Liventsovka sand pit (North-Eastern Sea of Azov Region; early Early Pleistocene, Middle Villafranchian): (a) braincase with fragments of antlers (ROMK L-1218): dorsal view; (b) the part of the skull with the fragment of left antler (ROMK L-1600), rostral view; (c), incomplete right antler (ROMK L-531): lateral view, holotype.

Fig. 3. The contours of deer antlers E. orientalis orientalis from the Bakinskaya locality (Psekups). A sketch was made from a photograph from the archive of V.I. Gromov (Alexeeva, 1977).
from the beam straight and slightly outward, and the end is turned slightly upward. A not quite correct picture of the antler and its incomplete description, which were given by Samson and Radulesco (1967), and reproduced in following publications (Azzaroli and Mazza, 1993; Croitor and Kostopoulos, 2004), brought a number of discords in the interpretation of the specimen. Because this species was described by the picture, a rather short pointed dorsal tine was depicted, although it was broken off, and really was longer. In addition, in the original antler a knob-like basal tine was noted, which was not rebuilt after the restoration. Crown tine at a considerable distance from the dorsal one are curved upwards and outwards, bifurcated into two branches, which bifurcated in their turn (Fig. 4a). The continuation of the beam after the crown tines is short, slightly curved upwards. Index of flattening of the beam is 0.9, and 0.68 of tines. The surface of the antler is covered with shallow longitudinal furrows.

The upper premolars have well developed metastylids. The upper teeth are narrowed from the basis to a chewing surface. There are additional columns, ectostylids. Maximal width of M2 at the base is 25.0 mm, and the chewing surface is 16.0 mm. The hypoconulid is well developed on the M3. The length of the M3 is 27.0 mm, width 25.0 mm, and the chewing surface is 16.0 mm. The hypoconulid is additional columns, ectostylids. Maximal width of M2 at the base is 0.9, and 0.68 of tines. The surface of the antler is covered with shallow longitudinal furrows.

Holotype: incomplete left shed antler, Cortiglione Monferato (Italy), coll. Geological Museum of Turin.

Type locality: Cortiglione Monferato (Italy), Villafranchian/Galerian.

**Age of localities of described material**: Semibalki and Tsimbal are typical sites of Taman Faunal Complex (Late Villafranchian-Galerian): specimens from Taman peninsula from unknown localities are presumably late Lower Pleistocene; the antler from Mariupol is presumably late Lower Pleistocene.

**Material**: an incomplete skull with a right antler 388 (collection KKM) from an unknown locality on the Taman Peninsula (according to N.O. Burchak-Abramovich); skulls without antlers OP-818, OP-819 and fragment of antler (collection AMZ) from Semibalki (north-eastern Sea of Azov Region); skull without antlers 26001|1 (collection ZIN) from Tsimbal (Taman peninsula); skull fragment (collection PIN, without collection number) from Taman Peninsula; incomplete shed antler 1-864 (collection KKM) from Mariupol (Zhdanov, northern Sea of Azov Region).

**Description.** Large deer. Width of a skull at supraorbital narrowing significantly exceeds the width of the braincase behind pedicles (Table 1). On the frontal bones at the level of top of the eye sockets there are concaves. Sagittal suture is convex, but between pedicles it is smooth. Foramen supraorbitalis is large and concave. The frontal bones between pedicles are concave. Bases of pedicles are close together, and the distance between them is less than the width of the single pedicle. Lateral-medial diameter of the pedicle

---

**Fig. 4. Antlers of Eucladoceros from Northern Caucasus: E. orientalis orientalis from Bakinskaya (Northern Caucasus, Pseukups River; Early Pleistocene, late Middle — early Late Villafranchian): (a) right antler; (PIN 4378/1); medial view; Eucladoceros orientalis pliotarandoides from Mariupol (exact locality unknown; Northern Sea of Azov Region; ?late Early Pleistocene): left antler (KKM-1-864); (b) lateral view; (c) anterior-medial view.**
is little more than the anterio-posterior one. The divergence of pedicles is 80°. The angle of the forehead and the skullcap is 119°–120°. Post pedicle part of the skull is short. Bones of a skullcap are slightly concave. The rhomboid elevation is placed at the centre. Before the subquadrangular coronal suture on the parietal bones, there are considerable depressions. Parietal bones are laterally convex. A groove for a joint with the lower jaw is slightly sloped medially. Nuchal fossae form relief, separated by an external occipital crest (Fig. 1a–c).

Occipital protuberantia is low. The angle of convergence of the dorsal and ventral surfaces of occipital condyles is 70°. The plane of the neck makes an angle of 120° with the skullcap, and 70° with the basioccipital bone. Occipital condyles significantly jut out caudally. Hypoglossal canal opens in front of occipital condyles. Jugular process is directed downward, backward and inward. High subtriangular pharyngeal tubercles with thickening in the oral part are separated by a narrow groove. A narrow ridge extends aborally to the middle of the basioccipitale. Aboral width of basioccipital bone is 70.0 mm. The ratio of its width at the oral part to that in the aboral is 75.1%, while the same of width of narrowing to the aboral maximal part is 74.6%. Basisphenoid bone has a small groove in the center. Its border with praeptednoid is at the level of posterior-lower edges of the foramen orbitotrotundum (Fig. 5b, c). Foramen ovale is large. Alisphenoidium contacts with parietale. Bone trumpet is long, with distance from the lower inner edge to the level of processus tympanohyal of 29.6 mm. It has two ridges, one directed to the temporal part, the other thin to the jugular bone. There are spinelets on the medium-sized, weakly inflated, and slightly rounded tympanic bone. Petrosus bones are slightly above the central part of basioccipitale. The canal for the hyomandibulare is elongated and covered with a thin edge of bone auditory tube.

The total length of the antler KKM 388 is about 1280.0 mm. The basal tine has a form of roughness. The dorsal tine is strongly curved outwards and downwards. It is implanted at some distance from the burr (Table 2). The crown tine has dichotomous bifurcation (Fig. 5a). The diameter of the beam after the crown tine is 58.0 × 38.0 mm. Index of flattening of tines is 0.66–0.80 (specimen KKM 388).

Comparison. The antler from Mariupol is similar with the type specimen of “Praemegaceros pliotarandoides” from Cortiglione Monferato (Italy) in the character of dorsal tine curving outwards, reduction of basal tine, and deviation of the beam. The same characteristics are present in “Orthogonoceros verticornis” from Aliakmon (Greece) (Croitor and Kostopoulos, 2004), but in contrast

---

**Fig. 5.** Eucladoceros orientalis pliotarandoides from Taman peninsula (locality is unknown; ?late Early Pleistocene): (a) right antler (KKM 388): medial view; skull fragment (KKM 388): (b) ventral view; (c) rostral view. Figured by R. Croitor (2011).
to the finds from the Sea of Azov Region, the antler from Greece has is a short more evident basal tine situated near the burr.

E. o. pliotarandoides differs from E. o. orientalis by its greater distance from dorsal tine to crown tines, and by the more bent dorsal tine (Table 2). Bifurcation of crown tines at the specimen from Mariupol is 240.0 mm, more than double the same measurement on the antler from Psekups.

Deer antlers from Early Pleistocene localities of Sea of Azov Region differ from other forms of genus Eucladoceros by having noticeably bent outward and downward relatively short dorsal tine, significantly deflected laterally beam, and the presence of the dichotomy bifurcation of tines. These specimens differ from the antler from Psekups E. o. orientalis also in their larger size. They retain the same number and size of basal (spurious) tines, the dichotomy of the single branch diverging from the beam, and similar proportions of the beam and antler bifurcations.

Discussion. Currently, there are two main hypotheses on the systematic position of the deer genera Eucladoceros and Praemegaceros. Vislobokova (1990) considers the last as the continuation of the Asian line Neomegaloceros — Orchnoceros. Eucladoceros is regarded as a separate lineage of the tribe Cervini (Vislobokova, 1990, 2011). The present authors adhere to this opinion. Some researchers believe Praemegaceros are descendants of Eucladoceros (Azzaroli and Mazza, 1992; Croitor and Kostopoulos, 2004; Croitor, 2006, 2011). Antlers from Psekups, Krasnodar and Mariupol were attributed to P. (Orthogonoceros) pliotarandoides, and specimens from Semibalki and Taman peninsula to P. (Praemegaceros) obscurus (Croitor, 2005, 2011). However, deer of genera Eucladoceros and Praemegaceros have a series of significant differences. The comparison of skull parameters for different deer given by Croitor (2006) are not very convincing in relation to the proposition of genus affinity or difference. The statement of different type of dichotomy for antlers of Eucladoceros dicranios and “P” pliotarandoides (Croitor and Kostopoulos, 2004) seems debatable.
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<thead>
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Deer antlers of *Eucladoceros* of group *dircanius-orientalis* have a clear dichotomous bifurcation, unlike deer of the genus *Praemegaceros*. The first have no intermediate middle non-bifurcated tine, and the dichotomy ramification of the tine follows the developed dorsal tine. The size of skulls of *Eucladoceros* (from Khapry layers) is less than in *Praemegaceros* (the comparison was made with specimens of *P. verticornis* from Kolkotova Balka, Tiraspol; collections of GGM and MGRI). The proportions of the skull differ in these types of deer. Latitude measurements of *Praemegaceros* are larger, but the length is less. Thus, the skull post pedicle length of the deer *Eucladoceros* from Liventsovka is on average 79.8 mm (*n* = 4), and in *Praemegaceros* 72.7 mm (*n* = 3) with a greater width. The ratio of the width of the skull behind pedicles to post pedicle length is 150.9 (*Eucladoceros*) and 196.5 (*Praemegaceros*). The width of supraorbital narrowing to the width behind pedicles is 137.2 on average (*n* = 5) and 119.6 (*n* = 3), respectively. The ratio of the width of the ventral notch to the width of occipital restriction in front of the occipital ridges is 0.148 (*n* = 4) and 0.196 (*n* = 3), respectively. The ratio of height to the neck to mastoid width is 67.6 and 59.8 (at the skull from Psekups 42.9). Basioscapital is noticeably wider at *Eucladoceros* at the narrowing and aboral parts in comparison with *Praemegaceros*. The ratio of its oral width to the aboral one is 74.0 (*n* = 4) and 66.8 (*n* = 3), but the width of the narrowing to the aboral one is 79.2 (*n* = 4) and 65.4 (*n* = 3). Occipital condyle relative to the width of the occipital bone is greater in *Eucladoceros* 66.7 (*n* = 3) and 56.1 (*n* = 3) in *Praemegaceros*. The angle of pedicle divergence is less in *Eucladoceros* (average 80°), than in *Praemegaceros* (average 90°). The form of pedicles is the same: the transverse width is on average greater than anteroposterior length (based on the *Eucladoceros* material from Liventsovka). Later representatives of *Eucladoceros* have the similar shape of the very large dorsal tine with the presence of a reduced basal one.

The material described here traces the gradual transformation of antlers of *Eucladoceros* ex gr. *dircanius-orientalis* from Middle Villafanchian to Late Villafanchian forms. They become larger, with less flattening of beam and tines, increasing height of divergence of the dorsal tine, increasing of distance between the proximal and crown tines, reduction of distal tine and total elongation of antlers, under the preservation of the dichotomous bifurcation.

The basal tine is significantly reduced, becoming a button-like vestige (subbasal tine according to *Croitor, 2006*). A similar feature is observed in young individuals of *Eucladoceros* of the Khapry Complex. Increased bending of dorsal tine and decreased its ramification. Significant bending of the dorsal tine in *E. orientalis* resembles the same at *Praemegaceros*. In the latter, however, this tine was longer and more curved (Abbazzi, 2004). This similarity in the structure of antlers in both deer lineages may be the result of parallel evolution during landscape change on the transition between Villafranchian and Galerian.

Tribe Megacerini Viret, 1961

**Genus Arvernoceros Heintz, 1970**

*Arvernoceros* sp.

**Locality:** Kushkuna, Azerbaijan

**Age of the locality:** Upper Pliocene, Lower Villafranchian.

**Material:** The shed left antler of a young animal (without a collection number, collection of the National Museum of Georgia).

**Description and comparison.** The antler is characterized by a round cross section beam, lacking other ramifications in the beam on a great distance from the first tine (Fig. 6). Beam is straight, and in the distal quarter it bends upward. A distal part of the antler is flattened and bifurcated in two tines, which are located in one plane. At the level of the first tine, the beam deviates back and laterally. The first tine is located at a relatively short distance from the burr, direct forward, and curved upwards and outwards at the end (Table 4). It has a small additional internal tine. The basal tine is rounded at the base. The first tine forms close to a 90° angle with the beam. At a distance of 40 cm after the divergence from the first tine, the beam is somewhat flattened. The distance from the middle of burr to bifurcation of distal crown tines exceeds 180 cm. One of these terminal tines is directed forward and outward, and the second upward and sideways. The surface of the antler is almost smooth.

![Fig. 7. Arvernoceros cf. veretschaginii from Liventsovka sand pit (North-Eastern Sea of Azov Region; Early Pleistocene, Middle Villafranchian): (a) the fragment of the antler (AMZ OP-1422); medial view; (b) the part of the skull with the fragments of antlers (AMZ OP-1425 (NGU – 239)); rostral view; (c) the outline of left antler (GIN, No. 301–12), locality Morskaya (by Alexeeva, 1977).](image-url)
As this specimen is from a young individual, it is difficult to make the species determination. The size and features of this finding are similar to those of Early Villafranchian A. ardei (Croizet et Jobert) from Etouaires (France) and Villarroy (Spain) and antlers of young Arvernoceros cf. verstchagini of Khapry layers of Sea of Azov Region.

Remarks. The antler is known from the site Kushkuna (Azerbaijan) found together with the remains of rodents, and molluscs of Middle Akchagylian. Paleomagnetic and biostratigraphic data of the layers relate them to the second half of the former Late Pliocene (=Piacenzian), Early Villanayan, and zone MN 16b (Tesakov, 2004).

Arvernoceros cf. verstchagini David 1992

1948 Cervus sp.; Gromov, 45
1971 Arvernoceros sp.; Baygusheva, 23
1994, Arvernoceros sp.; Baygusheva, 246
1990 Arvernoceros sp.; Vislobokova, 186
2004 Arvernoceros sp.; Croitor and Kostopoulos, 139.

Type locality: Salcia (Moldova); Early Pleistocene.
Localties of described material: Liventsovka, Khapry, Rostov Region, Russia, Khapry layers.
Age of the localities: Lower Pleistocene, Middle Villafranchian.
Material: skull fragments with antlers (5 specimens), 19 fragmentary antlers, 7 lower jaws, 16 limb bones; collections of AMZ, ROMK, PIN, GIN.
Description. The main characteristics of the skull of a deer are the following: post pedicle part of the skull is long, the ratio of width to cranial to post pedicle length is 1.38; frontal surface on each side of the sagittal suture behind orbits is concave (this feature varies, probably depending on the antlers’ size); relatively small occipital condyles; frontal bones between pedicles are flat; supraorbital channel is strongly narrowed upwards and widened downwards; coronal suture is subtriangular; orbits jut out; temporal crest is massive; supraorbital narrowing is weak; the angle of frontal and post pedicle planes is 98°–102°; the angle between the parietal and occipital bones is 130°; large and elongated oval foramen, parietal foramen is small, temporal foramen is large; bone external acoustic meatus is directed dorso-laterally and slightly lapsed back, pharyngeal tubercles are large, and longitudinally elongated. Pedicles are relatively long, their length ranges. Angle of pedicles’ divergence is 70°–80°, and the distance between them does not exceed the horizontal diameter of the single pedicle (Fig. 7). The burr is well developed, elliptical in cross section.

Antlers are characterized by rounded cross section beam, without any ramifications at a great distance above the first tine (Table 4, 5). The beam can be straight or curved. Angle of divergence of pedicles is 85°. At the level of the first tine, the beam extends posterior and laterally. The first tine is located at some distance from the burr, directed forward and is curved upward at the end. It is flattened at the base in adult individuals, but rounded at young ones. On large specimens, there is an additional process on the basal tine. The first tine forms with the beam an angle close to 90° (young), more obtuse in adults, about 110°. On antlers of young animals there is a weak ridge between the first process and the beam. The distance from the first tine to the palmation is more than 390 cm. There are no fully preserved antlers with palmation in the collection. However, according to the picture of an adult individual antler from the Morskaya locality (Alexeeva, 1977) there are three terminal tines directed forward (Fig. 7c). The surface of the horn is weakly striated, and in young forms is almost smooth.

The lower edge of the lower jaw is convex. The angle of the ascending branch to the body of the jaw is 135°. Teeth p4 are weakly molarized, representing the third molarization stage (Heintz, 1970). The anteroexternal plicas on molars are not pronounced.
Comparison. The large size and the form of antlers bring together the form of this deer with *A. ardei* from the early Villafranchian localities Etouaires and Villarroya. Deer from the Livestovska sand pit differ from the type species by greater transverse pedicle width at the same its height at adult individuals and some smaller at young ones, larger burr; and larger angle of pedicles’ divergence (Heintz, 1970; Bagyushova, 1994). Teeth of *Arvernoceros* from Khapry layers on average are larger than those in deer from Etouaires and Villarroya, but lie within the variability of this species or slightly exceed them.

The close geological age and geographical location, and the similar size suggest a possible relationship between *Arvernoceros* from the Khapry layers and *A. verestschagini* from Lower Pleistocene deposits near Salcia in Moldavia (David, 1992). However, the antlers of Khapry differ from the type specimen of the Moldavian deer by having a more slender beam over the burr, smaller height of a thinner first tine divergence, and larger angle of the first bifurcation.

3. Conclusions

In the Pleistocene of the Sea of Azov region, representatives of the genera Eucladoceros and *Arvernoceros* were most numerous from the Middle-Late Villafranchian. In Eastern Europe and adjacent territories, representatives of the first genus have existed since the Late Pliocene. A fragment of the skull and the antler is known from Early Villafranchian locality of Kvakbei in Georgia (Vekua, 1972). In the subsequent Khapry, Psokus and Taman Faunal complexes of Middle-Late Villafranchian, they were the index forms of large mammals. The evolutionary line of these deer reveals, for southern Middle-Late Villafranchian, as shown by the ex gr. *Eucladoceros dicranios tainatiensis* – *E. o. orientalis* – *E. o. pilotarandoides*. Probably, at this time in this territory, the first representatives of the genus *Praemegaceros* already existed (Vislobokova, 1990; Croitor, 2005). Throughout the evolution *Eucladoceros* ex gr. *dicranios-orientalis* became larger, with less flattening of beam and tines and a reduction of basal tine, increased height of divergence of the dorsal tine, increased distance between the basal and dichotomy bifurcated crown tines, and total elongation of antlers. *Arvernoceros* in Eastern Europe began to exist in the Early Villafranchian. As shown by the findings of this deer from Kvakbei (Georgia) (Vekua, 1972) and Kushkuna (Azerbaijan). These sites of zone MN 16 are close in age to locations of Western Europe at Etouaires and Vialette (France), and Villarroya (Spain), where *A. ardei* are known (Heintz, 1970; Vislobokova, 1990). Representatives of this kind existed in Eastern Europe to the end of Early Pleistocene. In the Sea of Azov region, they were typical representatives of the Middle Villafranchian Khapry Faunistic complex. Among all the finds of skulls and antlers of deer in the Khapry deposits, 12.3% belong to *Arvernoceros*. A. verestschagini was described from the early Lower Pleistocene of Moldova. From the type locality of Pskups, complex remains of *Arvernoceros* are unknown. However, this form of deer was typical for this time period in Eastern Europe and adjacent territories. The locality in Dmanisi (Georgia) has Cervidae cf. *arvernoceros* (Vekua, 1995), and *Arvernoceros* sp. are present in the Romanian location of Fantana lui Mitinan (Croitor, 2005). From numerous localities of the Taman complex, remains of *Arvernoceros* are also not defined. However, these deer are known from other sites of similar age from Europe: *Arvernoceros* sp. from Chishmkioy (Moldova), and *Arvernoceros* cf. *verestschagini* from Apollonia-1 (Greece) (Croitor and Kostopoulos, 2004; Croitor, 2005). During the Pleistocene, the evolution of the genus was directed to increasing the total size and complexity of the structure of the first tine. All these changes took place against the background of gradual adaption of the climate and expansion of the steppe type plant communities.

The changing of deer subspecies took place in parallel with modification of another index subspecies, the southern elephant *Archidiskodon*. In the following Middle Pleistocene Tiraspol Faunal complex, the deer *Praemegaceros ex gr. obscurus-verticornis* prevailed, which coexisted with *Mammuthus trogontherii*.
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